Assessment of General Chemistry I (KIM101 and KIM101E) Courses 2017/2018 Spring Semester

General Chemistry I (KIM 101 and KIM 101E) course is designed to emphasize problem solving skills and critical thinking to engineering students as they learn the principals of chemistry and help them to focus into the world of science and engineering.

General Chemistry I courses are given in two languages; Turkish and English: KIM 101 Genel Kimya I, course language Turkish (3 credits) KIM 101E General Chemistry I, course language English (3 credits)

Chemistry Department opens these courses every Fall and Spring semesters with parallel sessions.

Students must attend the session for which they are registered. A minimum of **70% attendance is required**. Periodic attendance checks are taken in class. **A student who is absent for 5 lectures (over 14 lectures) gets VF** (fails without midterm or final exam).

All the students registered in the course, regardless of their course language, take the same midterm and final exam on the same day and at the same time. Grade distributions are done separately for Turkish and English sessions (as KIM101 and KIM101E) and all students are considered in the same grade distribution based on their course language. Students take a *multiple-choice test exam* as midterm and final exam about their general knowledge in chemistry. Exam papers are standardized so that the grade entry may be done using an optic reader. This offers the advantage of speed and lessens errors. The grades are recorded and are posted on the website (www.kimyahavuz.itu.edu.tr) and students are able to check their optic exam-answer sheets from there, as well. Additionally, syllabuses, needed documents, exam locations and announcements for the courses are given through the same website. Instructors can access information about their students and course statistics through the site <u>https://otm.kimya.itu.edu.tr/o/</u>.

This semester, in order to increase the success of the students and for a better evaluation of the topics they learn in courses, it was decided to hold 2 midterm exams.

The grading scheme for the exams is: Midterm I 25 %, Midterm II 25 %, Final exam 50%.

Each semester **an exam committee** is appointed to KIM 101 and KIM 101E courses from Department members who teach General Chemistry courses. The Committee assists with determining the exam content, writing new exam questions and editing exam questions to ensure that the exams are of the highest quality.

Each semester **a course coordinator** is also appointed to KIM 101 and KIM 101E courses. Course coordinator is responsible for planning and coordinating the delivery of the Course and for ensuring an appropriate assessment with the Head of the Department, who has the final responsibility. The coordinator is also responsible of organizing and grading the exam papers. At the beginning of each semester, a meeting for all KIM 101 and KIM 101E instructors is arranged by the course coordinator. Important points (related with the syllabus, the attendance to the courses, the use of legal website of the textbook (http://cwx.prenhall.com/petrucci), etc.) are considered and are given to the attention of the instructors. Discussions on these points help General Chemistry Course Instructors for useful and reasonable ideas for the next semester.

For student queries, the course instructors allocate a 2-hour "office- hour" period. This is announced in the lectures and is indicated on a weekly course schedule hung on instructor's office door.

This semester, a total of **1548 students (KIM 101 – 717 students and KIM 101E – 831 students)** from various departments took the course in **34 parallel sessions**.

In Table 1, the average grades for midterm and final exams of KIM 101 and KIM 101E courses are given and compared. (KIM 101 and KIM 101E; 2017/2018 Spring Semester.)

	Midterm I	Midterm II	Final exam	General Average
KIM 101	59.1	42.5	39.55	45.18
KIM 101E	59.9	41.65	41.15	45.96

 Table 1.
 2017/2018 Spring- Averages of KIM 101 and KIM 101E Courses (points are over 100)

Table 2 and Table 3, shows the averages of the grades for KIM 101 and KIM 101E courses for the previous semesters.

$10 \text{ M} \text{C} \text{C}_{1} \text{A} \text{C}_{1} \text{C}_{2} \text{C}_{2} \text{C}_{1} \text{C}_{1} \text{C}_{2} \text{C}_{2} \text{C}_{2} \text{C}_{1} \text{C}_{1} \text{C}_{2} \text{C}_{1} $	Table 2. Averages for KIM 101 sessio	ons (2014/15 Fall-2017/18	Spring)- (points are given over 100)
--	--------------------------------------	---------------------------	---------------------------------------

-			, 1 0/ 1	<u> </u>	
	Midterm		Final exam	General Averages	
2014/2015 Fall*	55.8		48.6	51.48	
2014/2015 Spring*	54.	54.95		52.25	
2015/2016 Fall*	60.	60.00		49.66	
2015/2016 Spring*	53.64		46.4	49.3	
2016/2017 Fall*	44.	44.76		41.81	
2016/2017 Spring*	48.	.20	50.44	49.54	
2017/2018 Fall*	6	1	49.8	54.28	
	Midterm I	Midterm II			
2017/2018 Spring*	59.1	42.5	39.55	45.18	
* moultingle chaice even					

*multiple choice exam

Table 3. Averages for KIM 101E sessions (2014/15 Fall-2017/18 Spring)- (points are given over 100)

	Mid	term	Final exam	General Averages
2014/2015 Fall*	48.12		42	44.45
2014/2015 Spring*	55.4		49.24	51.7
2015/2016 Fall*	52	52.68		42.77
2015/2016 Spring*	53.28		44.6	48.07
2016/2017 Fall*	37	37.6		35.92
2016/2017 Spring*	48	48.56		47.72
2017/2018 Fall*	52.29		43.6	47.08
	Midterm I	Midterm II		
2017/2018 Spring*	59.9	41.65	41.15	45.96

*multiple choice exam

As can be noticed from the given Tables, unlike the previous semesters, there is no significant difference in grade averages between English and Turkish courses, which might be due to the good language skills of the registered students. Generally, students have difficulties in following the English courses and understanding the questions asked in the exams. This problem seems to be encountered almost in all English courses (especially for the first year students) and has to be solved university-wide.

The number of KIM 101 (Turkish session) students who passed the course (including DC and DD) were **497** over **717** which is **69.31** % of the total students. The number of failed students having FF and VF (without midterm or final exam) grades are **220** which is **30.68** % of the total students.

The number of KIM 101E (English session) students who passed the course (including DC and DD) were **583** over **831** which is **70.16** % of the total students. Fail (FF) and fail without midterm (VF) grades are **248** over 831 which is **29.84** % of the total students.




Figure 1 and Figure 2 shows the percentages of each grade for KIM101 and KIM101E for 2017-2018 Spring Semester.

Fig.1 Percentage-grade graph for KIM101




Fig.2 Percentage-grade graph for KIM101E

Table 4 and Table 5 includes the grade distributions; the number of students and percentages for each grade for KIM101 and KIM 101E sessions for 2017-2018 Spring Semester. :

Table 4.	Grade dist	tributions,	number	of studen	ts and	perce	entage	s for e	ach gra	de for	KIM 10	1 sessions
					•••		• •		-		10()	

Letter grade	Grade	Number of students	Percentage (%)
AA	Excellent	21	2.93
BA	Good-excellent	47	6.56
BB	Good	59	8.23
СВ	Good-satisfactory	97	13.53
CC	satisfactory	136	18.97
DC	Satisfactory-low pass	73	10.18
DD	Low pass	64	8.93
FF	Fail	101	14.09
VF	Fail without midterm	119	16.60

Letter grade	Grade	Number of students	Percentage (%)
AA	Excellent	27	3.25
BA	Good-excellent	50	6.02
BB	Good	73	8.78
СВ	Good-satisfactory	126	15.16
CC	satisfactory	167	20.10
DC	Satisfactory-low pass	72	8.66
DD	Low pass	68	8.18
FF	Fail	118	14.20
VF	Fail without midterm	130	15.64

In the following **Table 6 and 7**, 2017/2018 Spring student numbers and averages related to each department for KIM 101 and KIM 101E sessions are given, respectively.





Table 6. 2017/2018 Spring Averages by Departments for KIM 101 sessions

Table 7. 2017/2018 Spring Averages by Departments for KIM 101E sessions



Undergraduate program codes can be reached from the website: <u>https://www.sis.itu.edu.tr/EN/about-sis/undergraduate-program-codes.php</u>

The highest averages are from EHB (Electronics and Communication Engineering) for KIM101 and are from UCK (Aeronautical Engineering) and END (Industrial Engineering) for KIM101E.

There are an access related with the course statistics (Outcomes, histograms, syllabus, etc.) through the website <u>https://otm.kimya.itu.edu.tr/o/</u>.

ITU, Faculty of Science, Department of Chemistry

July 2018

ABET Committee